









Introduction

About the project

The University of New South Wales Sustainable Materials Research and Technology (SMaRT@UNSW) Centre and Southern Regional Organisation of Councils (SSROC) with the support of NSW Circular are collaborating to run a series of three think tanks aimed to catalyse innovation that will lead to waste avoidance and an increase in reuse and resource recovery within a circular economy. The series bring together stakeholders from SSROC Councils, UNSW, government and the design, manufacturing, resource and charity sectors to establish collaborative ways of working and to progress our understanding of how to transition to a circular economy.

The overall project objectives for SSROC are to partner with a research centre based in the region to investigate which materials could be recovered from the domestic general waste and recycling streams and be returned to the productive economy; and over what timeline?

The second think tank was focused on textiles, it explored:

- Opportunities to recover more textiles from the domestic waste stream
- 2. Ideas to reduce the amount of textiles entering the waste stream
- 3. Innovative ways to reclaim and repurpose unwanted textiles.

We had a variety of experience in the room, including 46 representatives from local councils within the SSROC region, members of NSW Circular and the SMaRT Centre, NSW Government,

the charity sector, Universities, and peak bodies from the textile and product stewardship sectors.

Think Tank participants worked on solutions to the following textile streams as they affect not only local government, but also present challenges and circular economy opportunities for all sectors in the room: Unwanted clothing and manchester, latex mattresses, flock, and carpets.

The 15 great ideas that were presented at the culmination of the think tank incorporated the multi-disciplinary input of all participants. Ideas ranged from finding solutions to the lack of latex recycling, through certification and product stewardship schemes, low tech (and high-tech) collection and sorting systems to improve recoverability, providing essential textile resources for Pacific Nations, and the need for a shared data platform. And a whole lot in between.

Purpose of this document

To provide a summary of the activities, and ideas raised at the textiles Think Tank held on 26 November 2019. For a fuller report please see the associated "Conversation Tracker".

Material Pathway summary

| Carpets | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| What works? | Kerb: better for sorting than bins | | | Trucks that can monitor tonnage | Regional procurement of services | | | Easy for the consumer | Selling to Germany |
| | Can only do it twice a year | No easy way to recycle / reuse | Expensive to dump | Only moves the problem | Most carpet goes to landfill | Limited EFW facilities | Not volume-viable in Australia right | Made from a variety of | No social licence to EFW |
| What doesn't work? | Residents think / expect there's a solution | Materials get wet / dirty | Stuff sits on the road for days | Poor alternative recycling options | No carpet-specific levies (only general waste levies) | | now (EFW) | materials – hard to separate | |
| Opportunities | More communication between carpet designers and disposers | General public education Incentives for sorting | Regional procurement of services | Communication between facilities and circular economy designers | Shred materials to make flock / insulation / underlay | Invest in innovation and emerging technology | MERF separate carpet materials | Methane gas collection Alternate daily cover | Alternate end – materials back to manufacturers |
| Flock / Mixed fibres | | | | | | | | | |
| What works? | Education creating awareness | Council and charity donations / Take-back schemes | Facility for shredding (tested) | Recovery of metal and wood (CTruck) | | Cleaning – no contamination | | | |
| What doesn't work? | All collection is impacted by a lack of education and knowledge | Contamination challenge | | | Innovation for recovery not working well | Marketable products | Revenue opportunities – need to be created | Reuse competition with virgin materials | Customers don't see reuse as value for money |
| Opportunities | Responsible manufacturing approach | Product design – to be re-useable | Multi-purpose facilities | Guidelines on agreed approach between State / Federal | Clear communication channels between Council and State | Procurement | Create a new standard / technology | Funding for innovation in recycling technology | Put textiles on the agenda Increased produc |
| | Create matching opportunities (20% on take back) | Extended producers responsibility | | Government and Council | / Federal Government | | Government lead in generating demands via purchase | Understand potential resource recovery streams 'Education' | stewardship Prioritise recycled / upcycled materials |

thinkplaceglobal.com | ThinkPlace | Conversation Tracker

| What works? | Education as to which to put into | Landfill into red | Compacting and efficient | Well managed | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| What doesn't work? | Resident behaviour | Trust issue | Lack of producer responsibility | Lack of EPR / stewardship | Easier to just chuck | Inertia | Entanglement snakes – | | |
| | Alternative collections in MUDs (recycling) | Lack of charity bins (reuse / recycle) | | | | | mechanical issues | | |
| Opportunities | Mini CRCs | More avenues to dispose | 6-bin trucks | Separate textiles truck | Sorting option | | | Landfill mining | ARRT |
| | Bulk recycling for multi-units | Takeback schemes (retailers) | | | | | | | |
| Clothing 2 – Clothing t | o charity sector | | | | | | | | |
| What works? | System for collection | Awareness of collection service | | Sorting by quality (reuse) | | Increased consumers buying pre-loved / second-hand | Supply | Keeping product in reuse for longer | Sales – projected (~3.5%) |
| What doesn't work? | Capture | Access to services – special awareness | No ability to sort for material tech | Duvets and pillows cannot be reused / recycled | Change consumer perception | Export bans risk Uniforms branding | Eventually ends up in landfill | Transparency – environmental data ethics | Support for local solutions |
| Opportunities | Data capture so we understand the situation | More channels for collection (customer- focussed) | Increasing donations to charity | Charities collect and sort all textiles into streams | Technology to sort items by material | Investment in operations and infrastructure to support large sorting | De-branding (procurement and economy to unstitch) | Data / education showing waste result from branding | Procurement (demand / government and industry setting targets) |
| Latex Mattresses | | | | | | | | | |
| What works? | \$ Take back during delivery | Separate collection for recycling | Drop off at the depot is cheaper | Soft landing collection model | Convenience for consumer | Education – consumers are aware of separate collection | Cheaper to deliver to facility for councils | If compacted, it's cheaper to landfill for councils | Reduces space |
| What doesn't work? | Compaction is bad for trucks and recycling | Higher turnover of mattresses - consumer | Harder to recycle when compacted | Transient neighbourhood – they get dumped | Difficult to recycle latex – issue if quantity gets | Consumers think latex is easily recyclable | Can't tell if natural or synthetic Not enough | Is there enough volume now to make viable? | Cost for council Additives |
| | | | | | higher | | retailers taking back | | . water oo |
| Opportunities | Expand and support EPR \$\$ Get retailers and | Clearer labelling of natural / synthetic products | Funds for flat bed trucks | Invest in recycling technology | 3D printing (in US using latex) | Advocacy | R & D recovery solutions for synthetic latex | | |
| | consumers engaged in solutions | Get retailers to take back | | Get the best logistics | | Get funding for product stewardship | | | |

Top Ideas

| Idea 1: Expanded collection networks – bins and online. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Material | Clothing | | | |
| What is the problem you're solving? | 4% of all waste to landfill is textiles or 800 KT per year. We need a structural solution to move the dial. Bins and online collection services offer a step change. | | | |
| How do you plan to solve it? | 1,000 clothing bins in NSW. Expand it to 5,000 and online innovation. Coalition of industry, charity, brands, and councils. | | | |
| Why is this a great idea? | Scalable Simple The economies work today Export markets exist today Domestic markets exist today | | | |
| How can we make it happen? | Alliance partners meet and agree Trial in SSROC | | | |

| Idea 2: What we measure, how we measure | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Material | Clothing and C+I textiles | | | |
| What is the problem you're solving? | Policy, brands and emergent technologies are hamstrung by a lack of data, when it comes to enabling action. Textiles (charity, red bin and bulky waste, C+I disposal) have no common metrics. | | | |
| How do you plan to solve it? | Establish a framework to amend existing audit schedules (kerbside, C+I, retailer disclosures) to standardise data and allow preservation of value at end of life. | | | |
| Why is this a great idea? | Open-sourced data amplifies sector responses Attracts investment from private sector Clarifies areas of focus | | | |
| How can we make it happen? | ACTA – joint stakeholder association progressing this agenda. Funding or partnership to deliver and aggregate | | | |

| ldea 3: Intelligent material sorting technology | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Material | Clothing and Manchester (Pathway 2) | | | |
| Draw or describe your idea | Sort by quality; then Sort by material type (QUT) Uni robotic sorting machine = intelligent optical sensors. Uphold the highest value at every stage. | | | |
| What opportunities does the idea address? | Maximum use of products / resources / technology Local Government procurement of minimum recycles content Consistent labelling of materials policy Increased efficiency with technology Recyclability regardless of textile quality Investment → greater capture of textiles especially high quality and increased upheld value Return → increased education for consumers and staff Facilitates behaviour change | | | |
| What barriers might prevent us achieving it? | New / technology trial / logistics Security in investment / investment in technology Resistance for global retailers charity to use new labels | | | |
| Who else is involved? | Governments, brands, retailers, consumers, charities, recyclers | | | |

| Idea 4: Certified recyclable latex scheme | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Material | Latex | | | | |
| What is the problem you're solving? | Reusability and recyclability of latex in mattresses Limited use for latex but increasing latex mattresses in the market | | | | |
| How do you plan to solve it? | Certification scheme / legislation to look at latex mattress components to increase reuse and recyclability Research into composition options to binders and 'coatings' and the re-use of latex | | | | |
| Why is this a great idea? | Reduce waste to landfill Expand / create markets for end products Certification for consumers | | | | |
| How can we make it happen? | \$150,000 to SMaRT Centre for 1 year of research SSROC Advocacy for government certification scheme to follow research outcomes | | | | |

